UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RONALD G. LOEBER, WILLIAM E. BOMBARD, WILLIAM A. GAGE, JOHN-JOSEPH FORJONE, H. WILLIAM VAN ALLEN, FAIRLENE G. RABENDA, ROY-PIERRE DETIEGE-CORMIER, RONALD E. SACOFF, GABRIEL RASSANO, EDWARD M. PERSON, JR., CHRISTOPHER EARL STRUNK, and AD HOC NEW YORK STATE CITIZENS FOR CONSTITUTIONAL LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING,

Plaintiffs,

v.

1:04-CV-1193 (LEK/RFT)

THOMAS J. SPARGO, individually and as Justice of the NYS Supreme Court; JOSEPH L. BRUNO; 61 JOHN AND JANE DOE NYS SENATORS, all individually and as state senators past and present; SHELDON SILVER; 149 JOHN AND JANE DOE NYS ASSEMBLY MEMBERS, all individually and as past and present; GEORGE E. PATAKI, individually and as NYS Governor; RANDY A. DANIELS, NYS Secretary of State with authority and repository for corporations and unincorporated associations service; ELIOT SPITZER, per CPLR 1012, New York State Attorney General; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECRETARIES OF STATE "NASS"; LESLIE REYNOLDS, **Executive Director for the Executive Committee:** PETER KOSINSKI, individually and in his official capacity at the NASS; and JOHN ASHCROFT, per 28 USC 2403, the United States Attorney General,

Defendants.

APPEARANCES

OF COUNSEL

RONALD G. LOEBER

Altamont, New York 12009 Plaintiff *pro se*

WILLIAM E. BOMBARD

Glens Falls, New York 12801 Plaintiff *pro se*

WILLIAM A. GAGE

Hampton, New York 12837 Plaintiff *pro se*

JOHN-JOSEPH FORJONE

Clarendon, New York 14429 Plaintiff *pro se*

H. WILLIAM VAN ALLEN

Hurley, New York 12443 Plaintiff *pro se*

FAIRLENE G. RABENDA

Poughkeepsie, New York 12603-5606 Plaintiff *pro se*

ROY-PIERRE DETIEGE-CORMIER

Brooklyn, New York 11213 Plaintiff *pro se*

RONALD E. SACOFF

Staten Island, New York 10312 Plaintiff *pro se*

GABRIEL RASSANO

Freeport, New York 11520 Plaintiff *pro se*

EDWARD M. PERSON, JR.

Plaintiff pro se

CHRISTOPHER EARL STRUNK

Brooklyn, New York 11238 Plaintiff *pro se*

SCULLIN, Chief Judge

AMENDED ORDER

On October 4, 2005, this Court issued an Order in which, among other things, it instructed

either Ms. Miller or Mr. Smirlock or another attorney in their Office . . . [to] either file a Notice of Appeal on behalf of Defendants within **FIFTEEN DAYS** of this Order if they intend to continue to represent Defendants in this action or notify the Court whether Defendants will be proceeding *pro se* or whether they will be represented by other counsel.

See October 4, 2005 Order at 6.

Subsequently, it was brought to the Court's attention that there is an obvious typographical error in the above-cited paragraph. The Court's intent was for either Ms. Miller or Mr. Smirlock or another attorney in their Office to file a "Notice of Appearance" **not** a "Notice of Appeal." Accordingly, the Court hereby

ORDERS that the above-cited paragraph is **AMENDED** to read as follows:

ORDERS that either Ms. Miller or Mr. Smirlock or another attorney in their Office shall either file a Notice of Appearance on behalf of Defendants within **FIFTEEN DAYS** of this Order if they intend to continue to represent Defendants in this action or notify the Court whether Defendants will be proceeding *pro se* or whether they will be represented by other counsel.

and the Court further

Case 1:04-cv-01193-LEK-RFT Document 23 Filed 10/17/05 Page 4 of 4

ORDERS that, in light of this Amended Order, Defendants' counsel is hereby **GRANTED** a **FIFTEEN DAY** extension of time in which to comply with the Court's instructions in the above-cited paragraph.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 17, 2005

Syracuse, New York

Frederick J. Scullin, Jr.

Chief United States District Court Judge